

^a (a) TFA, 21 °C; (b) Ala-Iaa, HOBT, DCC, CH₂Cl₂, 21 °C; (c) TsOH, H₂/Pd-C, MeOH, 21 °C; (d) IvaOH, DCC, Et₃ N, CH₂Cl₂/DMF, 21 °C; (e) t-Boc-ValOH, DCC, Et₃N, DMF, CH₂Cl₂/DMF, 21 °C; (f) LiS-n-Pr, HMPA (ref 9).

Table II. Binding of Tetrapeptide Analogues to Pepsin

inhibitor	K _D , nM	$t_{1/2} (\rightarrow)$	K _i , nM
Iva-Val-D-Sta ^P -Ala-Iaa (17A)	d		200ª
Iva-Val-L-Sta ^P -Ala-Iaa (17B)	7	115 min	<0.07 ^b
Iva-Val-1-Sta-Ala-Iaa (5)	60	<10 s	1.10
Iva-Val-L-Sto-Ala-Iaa (4)	d		56°
Iva-Val-Val-L-Sta-Ala-Sta (Pepstatin, 3)	13	\sim 30 s	0.046 ^f

^aDetermined at 37 °C at pH 3.5 (0.1 M NaOAc) with Z-His-pNO₂Phe-Phe-OMe as substrate. ^bAs a with Lys-Pro-Ala-Glu-PhepNO₂Phe-Arg-Leu as substrate (ref 10). 'Reference 11. 'Slow binding not observed. "Reference 4. TReference 12.

the L configuration; in contrast, the longer IvaValNH moiety appears to be restricted to one extended pocket.

The inhibition observed with 17B is not of the simple competitive type, however, as a marked increase in the degree of inhibition occurs during the course of a 10- or 15-min assay. Such behavior is frequently observed with tightly-bound inhibitors of pepsin and has been well-characterized by Rich and his co-workers as involving the two-stage association sequence represented by eq 1.11

$$E + I \stackrel{k_1}{\underset{k_2}{\leftarrow}} E \cdot I \stackrel{k_3}{\underset{k_4}{\leftarrow}} E \cdot I^*$$
(1)

$$K_{\rm D} = k_2/k_1 \tag{2}$$

$$K_{i} = \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} \frac{k_{4}}{(k_{3} + k_{4})} \tag{3}$$

From the chemical nature of the phosphinic acid moiety, we expect that 17B is binding to the enzyme in a reversible and noncovalent fashion. Accurate determination of its binding affinity is not straightforward, however. The binding constant $K_{\rm D}$ for the "loose" complex E-I can be readily determined by steady-state methods in the case of inhibitor 17B, since the rate of isomerization to the "tightened" complex is very slow $(t_{1/2} \approx 2 \text{ h})$. By treatment of the isomerization of $E \cdot I \rightleftharpoons E \cdot I^*$ as an irreversible process, it is possible to determine a value for k_3 of 0.36 h⁻¹ ($t_{1/2} = 115$ min) at 37 °C and a ratio of $k_4/k_3 < 0.01$.¹³ If the binding process

is in fact reversible, the overall K_i must therefore be less than 70 pM.

Although an accurate determination of k_4 (and thus K_i) is not possible without radiolabeled material, it is clear that the phosphinate 17B is an exceedingly potent inhibitor of pepsin, approaching the affinity of pepstatin itself (Table II). The use of phosphorus analogues to mimic tetrahedral intermediates therefore appears to be an effective strategy for inhibition of the aspartic peptidases as well as the zinc and serine peptidases. We hope to be able to extend these results to related enzymes of this class and to probe the nature of the exceedingly slow-binding transition.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor B. M. Dunn and Dr. Robert M. Scarborough for generous gifts of the octapeptide substrate and Professor D. H. Rich for helpful discussions. Support for this research was provided by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (CA-22747).

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental procedures for the preparation of compounds 8-17 and description of inhibitor assay procedures (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Iron(II)-Induced Activation of Hydrogen Peroxide to Ferryl Ion (FeO²⁺) and Singlet Oxygen (¹O₂) in Acetonitrile: Monoxygenations, Dehydrogenations, and **Dioxygenations of Organic Substrates**

Hiroshi Sugimoto and Donald T. Sawyer*

Department of Chemistry, University of California Riverside, California 92521 Received April 27, 1984

Revised Manuscript Received May 30, 1984

Although activation of H_2O_2 by iron(II) (Fenton chemistry) has been thoroughly characterized in aqueous media¹ and shown

$$Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{H_2O} Fe^{III}(OH^-) + \cdot OH$$
(1)

to have substrate reactions that are identical with those for hydroxyl radical (•OH),^{1,2} the nature of this system in an anhydrous, noncomplexing solvent has not been evaluated. Here we report that the slow addition of dilute H_2O_2 (in dry acetonitrile (MeCN)) to a solution that contains iron(II) and an organic substrate (RH) in dry MeCN (<0.005% H₂O) results in the monooxygenation or dehydrogenation of RH. Table I compares the products that result from the Fe(II)-H₂O₂-RH/MeCN system with those from

¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶⁴

⁽¹³⁾ On incubation of the enzyme at 50 nM and inhibitor 17B at 100 nm $(=14K_{\rm D})$ concentrations, the enzyme is present initially as E-I, isomerizing with time to an equilibrium mixture of E-I and E-I*. The extent of isomerization can be determined by removing aliquots and diluting them 100-fold into excess octapeptide substrate at 250 μM (=5 K_m) concentration. EI dissociates relatively rapidly, whereas E-I* does not, hence the enzymatic activity observed in the diluted aliquot reflects how much of the enzyme has not been transformed into E-I*. After 30 h, when equilibrium has been reached between E-I and E-I^{*}, less than 1% of the control activity recovers on dilution, suggesting that $k_4/(k_3 + k_4) \approx k_4/k_3 < 0.01$.

Walling, C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 175.
 Dorfman, L. M.; Adams, G. E. "Reactivity of the Hydroxyl Radical in Aqueous Solutions"; NSRDS-NBS 46, SD Catalog No. 13.48:46, U.S. Department Printing Office: Washington, DC; June, 1978.

Table I. Products from the Iron(II)-Induced Oxygenation/Dehydrogenation of Organic Substrates (RH) by H_2O_2 in Dry Acetonitrile and, for Comparison, the Products for the Fe(II)/ H_2O_2/RH Systems under Aqueous Conditions (Fenton Chemistry)

MeCN ²			$H_2O (pH 4)^{a,b,c}$		
substrate	reactn ^d efficiency, %	products ^e	reactn ^{d,f} efficiency, %	products	
blank (H_2O_2)	100	O_2 , H_2O , $Fe(II)$	100	Fe(III)	
Ph ₃ P	100	Ph ₃ PO	NR (insol)	Fe(III)	
Me ₂ SO	100	Me ₂ SO ₂	100	CH_4 , C_2H_6 , MeOH, Me ₂ SO ₂ , others, ^g Fe(III)	
Ph ₂ SO	100	Ph ₂ SO ₂	NR (insol)	Fe(III)	
EtÕH	70	MeCH(O) (90%), MeC(O)OH (10%), O ₂	100	MeCH(O) (4%), many others (96%), Fe(III)	
PhCH ₂ OH	100	PhCH(O)	20 (hetero)	PhCH(O) (70%), 4 others (30%), Fe(III)	
c-C ₆ H ₁₁ OH	47	$C_6H_{10}(O), O_2$	44	$C_6H_{10}(O)$ (70%), several $C_6H_{10}(OH)_2$ (30%), Fe(III)	
MeCH(O)	20	$MeC(O)OH, O_2$	100	many products, ^h MeC(O)OH (minor), Fe(III)	
$Me_2C(O)$	NR	O ₂	100	many products, Fe(III)	
PhCH(O)	28	$PhC(O)OH, O_2$	17 (hetero)	black tar, PhC(O)OH, (HO)PhCH(O), (HO)PhC(O)OH, Fe(III)	
cyclohexene	NR	O ₂	20	0 (66%), OH (27%), OG (4%), Fe(III)	
$1,4-c-C_{6}H_{8}$	59	PhH, O_2	50 (hetero)	PhH (60%), Ph(OH) (40%), Fe(III)	
PhNHNHPh	100	PhN=NPh	50 (hetero)	polymers, PhN=NPh, (HO)PhN=NPh(OH), (HO)PhN=NPh, PhOH, Fe(III)	
PhI	NR	O ₂	10 (hetero)	(HO)PhI, Fe(III)	
H_2S	100 ⁱ	H ₂ SO ₄	100	$S_8(s)$, Fe(II)	
H_2O (56 mM)	100	Fe(III)			

^a Product solution [from the slow addition (~5 min to give a final 2 mM concentration) of 1 M H_2O_2 (98% H_2O_2 in MeCN) to a solution of 1 mM Fe^{II}(ClO₄)₂·4MeCN plus 2 mM substrate] analyzed by gas chromotography and assayed for residual Fe(II) by MnO₄⁻ titration and by colorimetry with 1,10-*o*-phenanthroline. ^bRadical species indicated as the primary product on the basis of identified stable secondary products and their consistency with aqueous Fenton and ·OH radical studies.^{1,2} ^c The same products result with a 90:10 MeCN:H₂O solvent. ^d100% represents one substrate oxygenation or dehydrogenation per H₂O₂ added to the system (oxidation in the case of the aqueous systems); NR, less than 2% substrate reaction within 5 min. ^eThe Fe(II) remains unoxidized in the absence of H₂O or other complexing solvents and substrates. The Fe(II)-H₂O₂ system does not attack the solvent within the 5-min duration of the experiments, and control experiments of O₂ plus Fe(II) without H₂O₂ and of H₂O₂ without Fe(II) result in less than 2% reaction for each substrate. ^f(insol), substrate insoluble; (hetero), heterogeneous reaction matrix. ^gReference 3. ^hIncluding [CH₂CH(O)]₂, [CH₂C(O)OH]₂, and (O)CHCH₂CH₂C(O)OH. ⁱ100%, one H₂S converted to H₂SO₄ per four H₂O₂ added.

aqueous Fenton chemistry for several organic substrates (the Fe(II) is oxidized via eq 1 and by $\cdot OH$).¹⁻³

In sharp contrast, the products for the Fe(II)-H₂O₂-substrate systems in dry MeCN are characteristic of monoxygenase or dehydrogenation reactions. The total absence of products from •OH radical chemistry and of Fe(III) in the product solutions confirms that reaction 1 does not occur in dry MeCN (with H₂O >10%, products are the same as for aqueous Fenton chemistry (Table I)).

Reaction 1 does not occur in dry acetonitrile because the reduction potential for the Fe(III)/Fe(II) couple is +1.62 V vs. NHE, rather than +0.4 V (H₂O at pH 7). With H₂O (pH 7) reaction 1 is favored by 0.1 V (H₂O₂ + $e^- \rightarrow OH^- + \cdot OH; E^{o'}$ +0.51 V). In contrast to the facile Fe(II)-H₂O₂ reaction, the combination of Fe(II) and Me₃COOH in dry MeCN is essentially unreactive.

In the absence of substrate in dry MeCN, iron(II) catalyzes the rapid disproportionation of H_2O_2 to O_2 and H_2O but remains unoxidized (Table I). This is further confirmation that H_2O_2 cannot oxidize Fe(II) in MeCN via a one-electron process (eq 1). Hence, the facile redox reactivity between Fe(II) and H_2O_2 must involve a two-electron (oxygen atom transfer) mechanism to produce ferryl ion (FeO²⁺) in the primary step

$$Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow FeO^{2+} + H_2O$$
(2)

$$FeO^{2+} \equiv [Fe^{II}(O) \leftrightarrow Fe^{IV} = O \leftrightarrow Fe^{III}(O^{-})]$$

with ferryl ion depicted as a resonance hybrid of three iron oxidation states (the structure of ferryl is not known, FeO^{2+} is a convenient symbol to represent $Fe(H_2O_2)^{2+}$).

The rapid decomposition of H_2O_2 in MeCN that is induced by trace levels of Fe(II) is consistent with a rapid two-electron oxidation of H_2O_2 by FeO²⁺ (Table I).

$$FeO^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe(II) + O_2 + H_2O$$
(3)

The controlled introduction of dilute H_2O_2 into a Fe(II) (1 mM)-substrate (2 mM) solution limits the concentration of H_2O_2

and ensures that a ferryl-substrate reaction can be competitive with reaction 3.

The results in Table I strongly support the conclusion that the FeO^{2+} species in dry MeCN has the characteristics of $Fe^{II}(O)$ and acts as a monoxygenase or dehydrogenase.⁴

$$FeO^{2+} + RH \rightarrow ROH + Fe(II)$$
 (4a)

 $FeO^{2+} + 1,4$ -cyclohexadiene \rightarrow PhH + H₂O + Fe(II) (4b)

The presence of 2% H₂O in MeCN shifts the redox potential for the Fe(III)/Fe(II) couple to a much less positive value and makes reaction 1 feasible. Such water levels also may promote the hydrolysis of ferryl ion

$$FeO^{2+} + H_2O \rightarrow Fe^{III}(OH)^{2+} + \cdot OH$$
 (5)

Although only cyclohexanone is produced from cyclohexanol under anhydrous conditions, the presence of 1-5% H₂O (or a large excess of substrate) yields a spectrum of hydroxylated products (analogous to a previous study).⁵

Singlet Oxygen. Table II summarizes the reaction efficiencies and dioxygenated products that result from the addition of 1 mM Fe^{II}(ClO₄)·4MeCN to a solution of 2 mM H₂O₂ and 2 mM substrate (RH) in dry MeCN. The results indicate that the dioxygen product of reaction 3 [or the Fe(H₂O₂)₂²⁺ species] has the reactivity of the singlet (${}^{1}\Delta_{g}$) state; in the absence of substrate the overall stoichiometry is consistent with the summation of reactions 2 and 3.

$$2H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Fe(II)}} 2H_2O + {}^1O_2 \tag{6}$$

The extensive reactivity of diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), 9,10-diphenylanthracene, and rubrene to form exclusively dioxygenated products is consistent with the conclusion that the Fe(II)- H_2O_2 system produces singlet oxygen.⁶

⁽³⁾ Gilbert, B. C.; Norman, R. O. C.; Sealy, R. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans. 2 1975, 303.

⁽⁴⁾ For those substrates that react stoichiometrically with the Fe(II)/H₂O₂ system, reaction 4 is dominant and O₂ is not produced. The absence of O₂ precludes the possibility of a transient Fe(III) species that is reduced by H₂O₂.

⁽⁵⁾ Groves, J. T.; VanDerPuy, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5290.
(6) Foote, C. S. In "Biochemical and Clinical Aspects of Oxygen"; Caughey, W. S., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1979; pp 603-626.

Table II. Products and Conversion Efficiencies for the Iron(II)-Induced Dioxygenation of Organic Substrates (RH) by H₂O₂ in Dry Acetonitrile^a

substrate (RH)	reactn ^b efficiency, %	products
blank (H.O.)	100	O. Fe(II)
	100	02, 10(11)
Ph O	100	C(0)Ph C(0)Ph
Ph	69	Ph !
Ph Ph	83	Ph Ph
Ph Ph		Ph Ph
cholesterol	NR	insoluble, O ₂
$Ph_2C=CPh_2$	22	$Ph_2C(O), O_2$
PhC=CPh	42	$PhC(O)C(O)Ph, O_2$
PhC=CMe	26	$PhC(O)C(O)Me, O_{2}$
PhC≡CH	12	$PhC(O)CH(O), O_{2}$
c-PhCH=CHPh	52	PhCH(O) (98%), PhC≡CPh (2%) ^c , O ₂
t-PhCH=CHPh	28	$PhCH(O), O_2$
PhCH=CHMe	32	PhCH(O) + MeCH(O) (85%),
		PhCHCHOMe (15%), ^d O ₂

^aProduct solution [from the slow combination of 0.5 mM Fe¹¹-(ClO₄)₂·4MeCN with 1 mM H₂O₂ (98%) and 1 mM substrate] analyzed by gas chromatography and assayed for residual Fe(II) by colo-^b 100%, one substrate dirimetry with 1,10-o-phenanthroline. oxygenation per two H₂O₂ molecules added; NR, less than 2% substrate reaction within 5 min; for each reaction system the Fe(II) remains in its reduced state. Controls with Fe(II)/ O_2/RH and $H_2O_2/O_2/RH$ systems react less than 2% within 5 min. Produced by the dehydrogenation of PhCH=CHPh by the FeO²⁺ intermediate of the $Fe(II)-H_2O_2$ process. With 100 mM Fe(II) and 20 mM PhCH= CHPh to which 20 mM H_2O_2 is added slowly, 43% of the product is PhC=CPh [60% (FeO²⁺ + RH] process]. ^d Produced by the monoxygenation of PhCH=CHMe by the FeO²⁺ intermediate of the Fe-(II)-H₂O₂ process. With 100 mM Fe(II) and 20 mM PhCH=CHMe to which 1 mM H_2O_2 is added slowly, 23% of the product is the epoxide $[37\% (FeO^{2+} + RH) \text{ process}]$.

The other substrates (Table II) also undergo an initial dioxygenation that is characteristic of ¹O₂. With *cis*-PhCH=CHPh, 0.26 mM of it is dioxygenated to give 0.52 mM PhCH(O); the stoichiometry of eq 6 indicates that $1.0 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$ yields, at most, $0.50 \text{ mM} {}^{1}\text{O}_{2}$. Hence, the reaction efficiency is 52% for a oneto-one ${}^{1}O_{2}$ -substrate dioxygenation via a dioxetane intermediate (characteristic of singlet oxygen reactions with π -electron-rich unsaturated carbon-carbon bonds).^{1,2}

$$c_{1s}$$
-PhCH=CHPh + ${}^{1}O_{2}$ \rightarrow $\begin{bmatrix} Ph & Ph \\ C & C & Ph \\ H & H \end{bmatrix}$ \rightarrow

2PhCH(0) (7)

trans-Stilbene is less reactive with the $Fe(II)/H_2O_2$ system, which is consistent with singlet oxygen chemistry.⁶ For conditions where ${}^{1}O_{2}$ production is limiting,⁷ the use of deuterated acetonitrile (MeCN- d_3) enhances the reaction efficiencies for the Fe(II)/ H_2O_2 -cis-PhCH=CHPh and -PhC=CPh oxygenations by factors of 1.4 and 1.5, respectively.8

Formation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ from $H_{2}O_{2}$ requires a two-electron oxidant that either transfers a hydride ion in a single step

$$FeO^{2+}$$
 + HOOH → $[Fe(OH)^+ \overline{O} - \overline{O}^+H] \rightarrow - - - Fe(II) + Fe(II) +$

$$e(II) + H_2O + {}^1O_2$$
 (8a)

or a H atom followed by a radical electron within the reaction complex

$$FeO^{2+} + HOOH \rightarrow [Fe(OH)^{2+} \cdot \overline{O} - \overline{O}H] \rightarrow$$

Fe(OH)⁺ $\overline{O} - \overline{O}^{+}H \rightarrow Fe(II) + H_2O + {}^{1}O_2$ (8b)

The second step is similar to the previously demonstrated oxidation by ferrocenium of superoxide to singlet oxygen.³ Because any leakage of HO2. from the solvent cage of the two-step process (eq 8b) and subsequent disproportionation to H_2O_2 and 3O_2 would decrease the yield of ${}^{1}O_{2}$, the hydride-transfer mechanism of eq 8a is favored in order to account for the 100% yields that are observed with DPBF as the trapping agent (rate constant for ${}^{1}O_{2}$ -DPBF reaction, 8 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹).⁹ [The reactive intermediate from reactions 2 and 8 may be $Fe(O)(H_2O_2)^{2+}$ or $Fe(H_2O_2)_2^{++}$ rather than free $^{1}O_{2}$.]

With cis-PhCH=CHPh and PhCH=CHMe as substrates, the Fe(II)-H₂O₂ system yields some PhC=CPh and PhCHCHOMe, respectively, in addition to the aldehydes that result from the dominant ${}^{1}O_{2}$ /dioxetane process. By appropriate adjustment of the experiments to favor reaction 2 and disfavor reaction 3, the FeO²⁺/substrate process becomes competitive ($\sim 50\%$ of the product mixture, Table II) with reaction 8.

$$FeO^{2+} + cis-PhCH = CHPh \rightarrow PhC = CPh + H_2O + Fe(II)$$
(9)

$$FeO^{2+} + PhCH = CHMe \rightarrow PhCHCHOMe + Fe(II)$$
 (10)

Such competition is in accord with a $FeO^{2+}-H_2O_2$ mechanistic pathway to formation of ${}^{1}O_{2}$.

The chemistry of reactions 2 and 3 is equivalent to that for catalase with FeO^{2+} acting as compound I.¹⁰ Likewise, the chemistry of reactions 2 and 4a (with the products of Table I) has some similarity to that for peroxidase, with FeO²⁺ as compound II.¹¹ Although some of the ferryl-substrate reactions (eq 4, Table I) parallel the "oxene" monoxygenase chemistry that is catalyzed by cytochrome P-450,12,13 the lack of significant reactivity with cyclohexene and norbornene indicates that FeO²⁺ is an inadequate model for the iron-oxygen center of the enzyme.

Additional studies are in progress to determine the effects of various ligands on the thermodynamics and kinetics of reactions 1-10 and the consequences for these reactions when organic peroxides are used in place of H_2O_2 as the source of "oxene" oxygen atoms. These should provide insight as to the possibility that Fe(II)-induced activation of H_2O_2 to FeO²⁺ and IO_2 occurs in biological systems.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE82-12299. We are grateful to Professor M. A. J. Rogers, University of Texas, Austin, for helpful discussions and suggestions.

Registry No. DPBF, 5471-63-6; MeCN, 75-05-8; H₂O₂, 7722-84-1; Ph₃P, 603-35-0; Me₂SO, 67-68-5; EtOH, 64-17-5; PhCH₂OH, 100-51-6; MeCH(O), 75-07-0; PhCH(O), 100-52-7; PhNHNHPh, 122-66-7; 1,4c-C₆H₈, 628-41-1; H₂S, 7783-06-4; Ph₂C=CPh₂, 632-51-9; PhC=CPh, 501-65-5; cis-PhCH=CHPh, 645-49-8; PhC=CMe, 673-32-5; PhC= CH, 536-74-3; trans-PhCH=CHPh, 103-30-0; PhCH=CHMe, 637-50-3; Ph₂SO, 945-51-7; c-C₆H₁₁OH, 108-93-0; 9,10-diphenylanthracene, 1499-10-1; rubrene, 517-51-1.

⁽⁷⁾ To 1 mmol of cis-PhCH=CHPh or 1 mmol of PhC=CPh and 0.5 mmol of Fe¹¹(ClO₄)₂.4MeCN in 10 mL of MeCN or MeCN-d₃ was added 0.5 mmol of H₂O₂ (98%) in 0.3 mL of MeCN over a 5-min period. (8) Lifetimes for ¹O₂; 56 μ s in MeCN and 610 μ s in MeCN-d₃. (a) Ogilly, P. R.; Foote, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, 105, 3423. (b) Rodgers, M. A.

J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6201.

⁽⁹⁾ Merkel, P. B.; Kearns, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7244. (10) Jones, P.; Wilson, I. "Metal Ions in Biological Systems"; Sigel, H., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1978; Vol. 7; pp 185-240.
 (11) Dunford, H. B.; Stillman, J. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1976, 19, 187.

⁽¹²⁾ Debrunner, P. G.; Gunzalus, I. C.; Sligar, S. G.; Wagner, G. C. In

[&]quot;Metal Ions in Biological Systems"; Sigel, H., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1978; Vol. 7; pp 241-275. (13) Dolphin, D.; James, B. R.; Welborn, H. C. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1982,

^{201, 563.}